

**Current Topics in Electricity Law
Table of Contents**

**Part One
Federal–State Jurisdiction Overview:
In a Changing World, Who Regulates What?**

Chart: Electricity Jurisdiction: Actions by Market Participants

Chart: Jurisdiction Over Resource Adequacy: Actions by Regulators

**Part Two
High Wholesale Prices:
Can States Protect Consumers Without
Triggering Federal Preemption?**

I. The States’ Concerns.....HWP-1

A. Reliability..... HWP-1

B. Price protection HWP-2

II. PJM’s FERC-Authorized Actions.....HWP-3

A. The PJM capacity market..... HWP-3

B. “Reliability Pricing Model” auction HWP-4

C. Minimum Offer Price Rule HWP-4

III. The New Jersey District Court: State Is Preempted.....HWP-6

A. New Jersey’s problems HWP-6

B. New Jersey’s actions..... HWP-6

C. New Jersey District Court decision..... HWP-8

IV. The Maryland Situation: State Is Preempted	HWP-13
A. Maryland’s actions.....	HWP-13
B. Plaintiffs’ preemption argument	HWP-15
C. Maryland’s defense	HWP-15
D. What counts is means, not purpose.....	HWP-15
E. The contract for differences is not independent of the wholesale contract price.....	HWP-16
F. Conclusion on field preemption.....	HWP-20
G. No finding on conflict preemption.....	HWP-20
V. Possible Weaknesses in the District Court Decisions	HWP-21
VI. The Third Circuit: FERC Lawfully Eliminated the State Exemption from the Minimum Offer Price Rule	HWP-22
A. FERC did not exceed its jurisdiction	HWP-22
B. FERC did not act arbitrarily or capriciously.....	HWP-26
C. FERC did not unduly discriminate	HWP-27
VII. FERC’s Preemption Position.....	HWP-29
A. State-subsidized bidding into wholesale markets is preempted.....	HWP-29
B. Preemption of state-subsidized bidding into wholesale markets does not interfere with state authority over preemption.....	HWP-30
VIII. Comments and Questions	HWP-31
A. Exactly what is the legal problem?	HWP-31
B. Source of funds	HWP-32
C. Where the courts might be wrong.....	HWP-33
D. The larger policy issue: Consumer harm	HWP-33

Part Three
Market Manipulation:
Can FERC and CFTC Share Responsibility
Without Invading Each Other’s Territory?

I. Relevant Statutes and LimitationsMM-1

A. The Commodities Exchange Act sec. 2(a)(1)(A), 7 U.S.C. sec. 2(a)(1)(A) ... MM-1

B. Section 4A of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. sec. 717c 1
(added by the Energy Policy Act of 2005)..... MM-1

C. FERC's implementing regulations are at Prohibition of Energy Market
Manipulation, 71 Fed. Reg. 4244 03 (Jan. 26, 2006) (codified at 18 C.F.R.
sec. 1c.1). MM-2

D. Section 23 of the Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. sec. 717t 2(c) MM-2

E. From Norman Bay's testimony before the Senate (January 15, 2014) MM-2

II. Mechanics of Manipulation: "Tools" and "Targets"MM-3

III. FERC’s Need: Access to More DataMM-5

IV. *Hunter v. FERC*, 711 F.3d 155 (D.C. Cir. 2013).....MM-6

V. FERC CFTC Memorandum of Understanding.....MM-8

VI. Financial Holding CompaniesMM-9

Part Four
Nuclear Power:
Can States Control Operation Without Triggering Preemption?

I.	Vermont's Statutory Commands	NP-1
II.	Preemption Principles Applied to the Nuclear Space	NP-4
III.	Principles of Statutory Interpretation	NP-6
IV.	Evidence of the Vermont Legislature's Safety Concerns.....	NP-8
V.	Vermont's Economic Arguments: "Implausible"	NP-10
VI.	Conclusion on Safety Preemption	NP-11
VII.	Interesting Concurrence (Carney, J.).....	NP-12

Part Five
Economic Smarts or “Existential Threat”:
How Are Solar, Storage and Microgrids
Changing the Industry’s Structure?

I.	Legal Issues.....	SSM-1
	A. Exclusive franchises.....	SSM-1
	B. Constitutional issues	SSM-7
	C. Jurisdictional issues	SSM-10
	1. Transmission vs. "non-transmission alternatives"	SSM-10
	2. Jurisdiction over storage	SSM-12

II.	California Developments	SSM-13
III.	FERC’s New Rules on Storage	SSM-15
A.	Goal: "[E]nhance competition and transparency in ancillary services markets."	SSM-15
B.	Essential background	SSM-15
C.	Sales at market-based rates	SSM-17
1.	Relevant transactions	SSM-17
2.	The new rule addresses the circumstances under which sellers can make these sales at <i>market-based rates</i>	SSM-17
3.	Energy imbalance and generator imbalance services	SSM-17
4.	Operating Reserve-Spinning and Operating Reserve-Supplemental services.....	SSM-17
5.	But: Regulation and Frequency Response service and Reactive Supply and Voltage Control.....	SSM-18
6.	Operating Reserve-Spinning and Operating Reserve-Supplemental	SSM-18
7.	Regulation and Reactive Power services	SSM-18
8.	Alternative mitigation options: For sellers who wish not to perform the market power screens.....	SSM-19
D.	Transparency.....	SSM-20
IV.	Conclusions: Gradations in Exclusivity Give Us Options for Accountability	SSM-22

Part Six
Regional Transmission Cost Allocation:
Has FERC Solved Its Posner Problem?

I.	FERC Jurisdiction Over Transmission Pricing	TCA-1
II.	Terminology and Concepts	TCA-2
III.	The 2009 Case	TCA-4
	A. Existing facilities versus new facilities	TCA-4
	B. The main dispute in the case	TCA-4
	C. FERC decision on existing and new facilities	TCA-4
	D. Court decisions on new facilities	TCA-7
	E. Comments and questions	TCA-12
IV.	The 2013 Case	TCA-14
	A. MISO's tariff (upheld by FERC)	TCA-14
	B. Cost allocation principles	TCA-14
	C. The states' Tenth Amendment argument ("frivolous," per Posner)	TCA-15
	D. Proportionality	TCA-15

Part Seven
Regional Transmission Planning and Demand Side Management:
Status of the Attacks on FERC

I.	Regional Transmission Planning (Order 1000)	RTP&DSM-1
	A. Statutory basics	RTP&DSM-1
	B. Order 1000: What did FERC say?	RTP&DSM-3
	1. Obligation of transmission provider to participate in a regional planning process that considers (a) non transmission alternatives and (b) public policy requirements	RTP&DSM-3

2.	Elimination of incumbent's right of first refusal to construct new regional transmission facilities.....	RTP&DSM-3
3.	Costs allocated to beneficiaries, even if not officially transmission customers	RTP&DSM-4
C.	Can FERC require transmission providers to participate in a regional planning process?.....	RTP&DSM-5
1.	Does FERC have the statutory power to require planning?....	RTP&DSM-5
2.	Assuming FERC does have the statutory power to require planning, did it create a sufficient evidentiary basis to support the requirement?	RTP&DSM-6
3.	Does the planning requirement invade state authority over transmission planning?.....	RTP&DSM-8
4.	Where do we go from here?.....	RTP&DSM-9
D.	Can FERC remove transmission incumbents' right of first refusal to build regional transmission projects?	RTP&DSM-9
E.	Can FERC require non-customers to fund transmission costs if they are beneficiaries?	RTP&DSM-10
F.	Does Order 1000 enter the states' exclusive domain?.....	RTP&DSM-13
G.	My comments.....	RTP&DSM-14
II.	Demand Resource Compensation (Order 745).....	RTP&DSM-15
A.	Order 745: What did FERC say?	RTP&DSM-15
B.	Did FERC exceed its jurisdiction by regulating "retail services"? ...	RTP&DSM-15
C.	Does locational marginal pricing overcompensate demand response, unduly discriminate against generation sellers or distort wholesale markets?.....	RTP&DSM-18

Part Eight
The Federal–State Relationship:
Is It Ripe for Re-Examination?

I.	Six Legal Models	FED-1
A.	Overview	FED-1
B.	Bright-line divisions.....	FED-1
C.	Federal enlists states	FED-4
D.	State enlists federal	FED-4
E.	Joint boards	FED-5
F.	Regional compacts	FED-5
G.	Concurrent jurisdiction	FED-6
II.	Political Relationships	FED-7
A.	When regulated industries are interstate industries, federal–state simultaneity is unavoidable.	FED-7
B.	Why does the interdependency produce so much irritability?.....	FED-7
1.	When national and in-state interests clash.	FED-7
2.	When the federal vs. state issue is, at bottom, a state vs. state issue.....	FED-8
3.	When the federal agency makes decisions that raise costs for state jurisdictional customers.	FED-8
4.	When the federal and state agencies differ over the role of regulation.....	FED-9
C.	Recommendations.....	FED-9
III.	Generating Capacity: State of Play Today.....	FED-11
A.	What states can do	FED-11
B.	What states cannot do	FED-11
C.	What FERC can do	FED-12
D.	What FERC cannot do	FED-12

IV. The Persistent Disputes FED-13

**Part Nine
Electricity Mergers:
From 200 to 50 in One Generation—
Whose Vision Is Driving Consolidation?**

**I. Corporate Family Structure:
Business Activities, Ownership and Influence EM-1**

- A. Business activities EM-1
- B. Ownership and influence relationships EM-2
- C. Corporate family conflicts EM-3
 - 1. Management distraction EM-3
 - 2. Affiliate abuse EM-4
 - 3. Weakened utility finances EM-5
- D. Regulatory policies for reducing the risks EM-5
 - 1. "Before the fact" prevention: Structural limits EM-5
 - 2. "After the fact" enforcement: Financial and structural sanctions EM-6

**II. Changes in Corporate Control: Mergers, Acquisitions
and Other Reorganizations EM-8**

- A. Characteristics of changes in control EM-8
 - 1. Business purpose EM-8
 - 2. Market structure EM-9
 - 3. Transactional form EM-12

4.	Structural level	EM-12
5.	Public or private?	EM-13
6.	Control and influence.....	EM-14
B.	Economics: Is the transaction "worth it," and for whom?	EM-14
1.	The merger equation: Are the benefits worth the costs?.....	EM-14
2.	Measuring benefits: Which ones count?	EM-16
a.	Types of consumer benefits	EM-16
b.	Distant future benefits.....	EM-18
c.	Benefits achievable without a merger.....	EM-19
3.	Measuring costs: Transition costs, transaction costs and the acquisition premium.....	EM-20
a.	Transaction cost	EM-20
b.	Transition cost.....	EM-20
c.	Acquisition premium cost.....	EM-20
4.	Allocating benefits and costs by setting post-merger rates.....	EM-21

Part Ten
Effective Regulators:
What Are Their Attributes and Actions?

I. Attributes of Effective Regulators

II. “Regulatory Capture”

III. Actions of Effective Regulators