

**Electricity Law Seminar 2012: Current Topics
Capacity Markets, Regional Transmission Planning,
Prudence, Renewable Energy Pricing, and Mergers**

Table of Contents

Part One

**The Changing Federal-State Jurisdictional Relationship:
Who Does What to Whom?**

I.	The 1935 Plan: FERC Has Sales of Wholesale Power and Transmission Service; States Have (Just About) Everything Else	JUR-1
	A. Industry actions triggering jurisdiction	JUR-1
	B. Types of jurisdictional relationships	JUR-1
II.	The 2012 Reality: Technology and Markets Ignore State Boundaries Challenge.....	JUR-3
III.	Solution through Systematic Analysis: Regulatory Functions and Their Logical Allocation	JUR-3

Part Two

**Wholesale Capacity Markets:
Are FERC's Initiatives Working for Consumers?**

I.	Market-based Rates Are Lawful: Ninth Circuit	CM-1
	A. FERC's market-based rate program	CM-1
	B. Petitioners' arguments	CM-2

C.	Absence of seller market power is sufficient.....	CM-2
D.	The possibility of regulatory inattention is not sufficient to outlaw market-based rates	CM-3
E.	FERC's reporting requirements are sufficient to prevent market power	CM-3
F.	FERC's monitoring is sufficient.....	CM-4
G.	The crucial three-part combination: a finding of competitive forces, monitoring, and enforcement tools	CM-5
H.	The Supreme Court has not ruled on the lawfulness of market rates	CM-5
I.	“Rates” are not “prices”: “Filed rates” and the FPA's sixty-days’-notice requirement	CM-6
J.	The Court's conclusion: Good luck.....	CM-6
K.	Market pricing concerns in the organized capacity market context: New Jersey’s challenge.....	CM-7

II. FERC's Reliability Pricing Model: Does It Help or Hurt Consumers?CM-9

A.	PJM responsibilities	CM-9
B.	Rationales for capacity payments	CM-9
C.	PJM's pre-RPM approach to capacity markets	CM-10
D.	Overview of the reliability pricing model.....	CM-11
E.	Locational capacity requirements	CM-12
F.	Four-year-forward procurement auction.....	CM-13
G.	Demand response and energy efficiency	CM-15
H.	Variable resource requirement (VRR).....	CM-17
I.	Quick-start and load-following requirements.	CM-22
J.	Integration with energy markets	CM-22
K.	Integration with transmission planning.....	CM-22
L.	LSE alternative purchases.....	CM-23
M.	Market-power mitigation	CM-24
N.	Current concerns	CM-25
O.	Questions and actions for states.....	CM-26

III. Minimum-offer Price Rule: States' and FERC's Competing Approaches to Consumer ProtectionCM-28

A.	Background.....	CM-28
	1. The New Jersey statute	CM-28
	2. FERC and PJM concerns	CM-29
B.	Description of the minimum-offer price rule.....	CM-29

C.	Exemptions	CM-32
1.	State-mandated exception: eliminated	CM-32
2.	Exception for nuclear, coal, Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle facilities, hydroelectric facilities, and upgrades or additions to existing capacity resources.	CM-33

IV. Demand Response: Do Utilities Have a Legal Obligation to Pursue?CM-35

A.	Do utilities have a state law obligation to maximize demand response?.....	CM-35
B.	Structuring the retail demand-response market: What are the states' options?	CM-37
C.	What compensation should states require or allow for retail consumer providers of demand response?.....	CM-42
D.	In the RTO context: Can DR aggregators take advantage of load diversity while not taking advantage of consumers?	CM-42

Part Three

**Transmission Planning, Pricing, Cost Allocation, and Siting:
What's Happened? What's Next for States to Decide?**

**I. Order 1000: Regionalism, Cost-Benefit Accountability, and
“Consideration” of State Policies TPP-1**

A.	Transmission provider's obligation to participate in a planning process	TPP-1
1.	Participation and plan	TPP-1
2.	No obligation to build	TPP-1
3.	Regional boundaries.....	TPP-2
4.	Effect on other planning processes	TPP-2
5.	Consideration of non-transmission alternatives.....	TPP-3
6.	Merchant transmission providers	TPP-7
7.	Flexible procedures.....	TPP-8

B.	Transmission provider's obligation to consider public policy requirements.....	TPP-8
1.	The “local”-“regional” relationship	TPP-8
2.	Preventing undue discrimination	TPP-9
3.	Procedures, not “needs”	TPP-9
4.	Possibilities, but not “requirements”	TPP-10
5.	No state-federal tension	TPP-11
C.	State-federal relationships: How will regional planning affect them?.....	TPP-11
1.	FERC’s own jurisdiction.....	TPP-11
2.	Federal-state relationship	TPP-12

II. Cost Allocation Within Regional Transmission Organizations: Are Benefits Justifying Costs? TPP-14

A.	FERC’s jurisdiction over transmission pricing.....	TPP-14
B.	FERC's general views on transmission pricing.....	TPP-14
C.	Seventh Circuit forbids cost-allocation casualness.....	TPP-15
D.	Order 1000’s statements on cost allocation	TPP-20
1.	Solution to free-ridership: Cost responsibility without a contract?	TPP-20
2.	Effects on state jurisdiction.....	TPP-23
3.	Cost recovery for non-transmission solutions.....	TPP-23
E.	Allocation design: variables, options, and processes.....	TPP-24
1.	Variables	TPP-24
2.	Allocation options	TPP-25
3.	Approval processes	TPP-26

III. FERC’s Transmission "Incentives": Are They Lawful and Consistent? Can States Have Influence? ... TPP-27

A.	The statute.....	TPP-27
B.	The existing rule: FERC's sequential analysis.....	TPP-27
C.	Recent history	TPP-30
D.	Commission questions	TPP-30
E.	The Court’s footprint	TPP-30
F.	Other questions	TPP-32

IV. Transmission Siting: Where Does the Federal-State Relationship Stand?	TPP-34
A. Designating "national interest electric transmission corridors": the DOE's difficulties	TPP-34
B. Granting preemptive permits where a state has created impediment: FERC's role	TPP-39
C. Commerce Clause: When do siting restrictions violate the Constitution? ..	TPP-40
D. Compact Clause: A path for multi-state planning.....	TPP-41

Part Four
Major Capital Expenditures:
What Are Regulators' Options for Allocating Risks and Costs?

I. Options: Pre-approvals, Riders, Formula Rates, and After-the-fact Reviews.....	CAPEX-1
A. Pre-approval defined.....	CAPEX-1
B. Examples.....	CAPEX-1
II. Legal Fundamentals: Obligation to Serve, Just and Reasonable Rates.....	CAPEX-3
III. Project by Project, or Long-term Plan?	CAPEX-4
IV. Cost of Equity: Is It Reduced by Pre-approvals and Riders?	CAPEX-6
Overview.....	CAPEX-9
I. Regulatory decisions on authorized return on equity are bounded by constitutional and statutory principles	CAPEX-11

II.	A rider's cost-of-equity effect depends on its context and content	CAPEX-12
A.	What is risk's role in determining total cost of equity?.....	CAPEX-13
B.	How important is the rider-reduced risk, within the utility's full universe of risks?.....	CAPEX-13
C.	How large is the rider-related expenditure, relative to total expenditures?	CAPEX-15
D.	What are the rider's specific features?	CAPEX-15
	1. Nature of the rider-associated risk in relation to normal risks.....	CAPEX-16
	2. Relation to pre-approval	CAPEX-17
	a. Pre-approval with cost caps	CAPEX-17
	b. Pre-approval with prudence review	CAPEX-18
	3. Balanced or unbalanced?	CAPEX-18
	a. Balance within the test year	CAPEX-19
	b. Balance within the rider itself	CAPEX-19
	4. Method of cost recovery	CAPEX-20
	5. Timing issues	CAPEX-20
	6. Extent of commission discretion.....	CAPEX-21
	7. Conclusion on the rider's specific features	CAPEX-21
E.	Are there factors external to the riders that affect the company's risk situation?	CAPEX-21
	1. Commission rate practices	CAPEX-21
	2. Utility's expenditure obligations	CAPEX-22
III.	A utility's riders should lower its ROE position within the zone of reasonableness only if its riders reduce risk more than the proxy companies' riders do	CAPEX-23

Part Five
Renewable Energy Purchases: Can States Influence the Prices?

I.	Federal-State Preemption: The Basics	REN-1
II.	Pricing Renewable Power Under PURPA and the Federal Power Act: What Exactly Is Preempted?	REN-3
	A. The federal footprint on renewable energy pricing.....	REN-3
	B. FERC’s decisions on California’s wholesale price setting	REN-5
	1. Federal Power Act analysis.....	REN-5
	2. PURPA analysis.....	REN-6
	a. Segmented prices are allowed if the utility has a state law obligation to buy from the segmented sources	REN-6
	b. Avoided cost "bonus" allowed for location in transmission-constrained areas	REN-7
III.	Fifty-one Price-setting Proceedings for Seven Technologies: Is There a Way to Simplify?	REN-9

Part Six
**Mergers and Acquisitions:
How Can States Distinguish the Efficient from the Inefficient?**

I.	Overview: The Key Corporate-structure Questions.....	MA-1
II.	The Repeal of the Public Utility Holding Company Act Left Gaps in Corporate-structure Regulation	MA-2

III.	Surviving and New Features of Federal Corporate-structure Regulation.....	MA-4
A.	Section 203 of FPA.....	MA-4
1.	Statutory basics	MA-4
2.	"Facilities subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission"	MA-5
3.	FERC's 1996 merger rule	MA-5
B.	Additions from the "Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005"	MA-6
IV.	States Have Need and Opportunity to Align Their Corporate Structure Statutes with Utility Corporate-structure Strategies	MA-15